

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>Table of Contents</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Dedication</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Dual Measurements . . . . .	2
1.2 Differences Between Measurements of Space and Measurements of Time .	7
1.3 Inaccuracies and Uncertainties . . . . .	10
1.4 What Lies Ahead . . . . .	11
<b>2 When does an Event Occur</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1 Probabilities at a Time and in Time . . . . .	16
2.2 Did it Occur vs. When Did it Occur . . . . .	17
2.3 Time of a Measurement or Arrival . . . . .	21
2.4 Continual Event Monitoring . . . . .	25
<b>3 Physical Clocks and Time-of-Arrival</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1 A Limitation on Time-of-Arrival Measurements . . . . .	31
3.2 Free Clocks . . . . .	33

3.3	Measurement of Time-of-Arrival . . . . .	35
3.3.1	Measurement with a clock . . . . .	36
3.3.2	Two-level detector with a clock . . . . .	40
3.3.3	Local amplification of kinetic energy . . . . .	44
3.3.4	Gradual triggering of the clock . . . . .	46
3.3.5	General considerations . . . . .	49
<b>4</b>	<b>Time-of-Arrival Operators</b>	<b>52</b>
4.1	Indirect Time-of-Arrival Measurements . . . . .	53
4.2	Conditions on A Time-of-Arrival Operator . . . . .	54
4.3	Time-of-Arrival Operators vs. Continuous Measurements . . . . .	56
4.4	The Modified Time-of-Arrival Operator . . . . .	60
4.5	Normalized Time-of-Arrival States . . . . .	63
4.6	Contribution to the Norm due to Modification of $\mathbf{T}$ . . . . .	67
4.7	Limited Physical Meaning of Time-of-Arrival Operators . . . . .	69
<b>5</b>	<b>Traversal Time</b>	<b>71</b>
5.1	A Limitation on Traversal Time Measurements . . . . .	72
5.2	Measuring Momentum Through Traversal-Distance . . . . .	73
5.3	Measuring Traversal Time . . . . .	74
5.4	General Argument for a Minimum Inaccuracy . . . . .	77
5.5	From Traversal Time to Barrier Tunneling Time . . . . .	83
<b>6</b>	<b>Order of Events</b>	<b>85</b>
6.1	Past and Future . . . . .	86
6.2	Which first? . . . . .	88
6.3	Coincidence . . . . .	92

6.4	Coincident States . . . . .	97
6.5	In Which Direction Does the Light Cone Point . . . . .	99
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>101</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>106</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Zero-Current Wavefunctions</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Gaussian Wave Packet and Clocks</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Time-of-Arrival Eigenstates</b>	<b>114</b>

## List of Figures

4.1	Unmodified part of time-of-arrival eigenstate. $ \tau^+(x, \tau) ^2$ vs. $x$ , with $\Delta = m$ (solid line), and $\Delta = \frac{m}{10}$ (dashed line). As $\Delta$ gets smaller, the probability function gets more and more peaked around the origin. . . . .	66
4.2	Modified part of time-of-arrival eigenstate. $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \tau^+(x, \tau) ^2$ vs. $\epsilon x$ , of $\sqrt{\frac{m}{\Delta}}x$ . with $\Delta\epsilon^2 = \frac{m}{10}$ (solid line) and $\Delta\epsilon^2 = \frac{m}{100}$ (dashed line). As $\Delta$ or $\epsilon$ gets smaller, the probability function drops near the origin, and grows longer tails which are exponentially far away. . . . .	67
6.3	A potential which can be used to measure which of two particles came first (given by $V(x, y) = \alpha\delta(\mathbf{x})\theta(-\mathbf{y})$ ). The wave function for two incoming particles in one dimension looks like a single wave packet in two dimensions travelling towards the origin. . . . .	89
6.4	Potential for measuring whether two particles are coincident. . . . .	93
6.5	Phase shifts for coincidence detector ( $\delta_1(ka)/\delta_0(ka)$ vs. $ka$ ) . . . . .	97