ACADEMIC COMMITTEE

Thursday 22 March 2012

MINUTES

PRESENT: Professor Anthony Smith (Chair)

Provost and President Mr David Ashton Dr Paul Ayris Professor David Bogle Professor Robert Brown Ms Sue Bryant Dr Helen Chatterjee Mr Neil Chowdhury Dr Brenda Cross Dr Caroline Essex Professor Mike Ewing Mr Marco Federighi Professor David Green Dr Christine Hoffmann Dr Arne Hofmann Professor Nikos Konstantinidis Ms Helen Matthews Mr Tim Perry Dr Hilary Richards Ms Mary Rimington Dr Ruth Siddall Ms Olga Thomas Ms Susan Ware Professor Michael Worton Professor Henry Woudhuysen

In attendance: Mr Jason Clarke (Secretary); Ms Clare Goudy; Mr Rex Knight; Ms Harriet Lilley; Ms Bella Malins [for Minutes 32-34 only]

Apologies for absence were received from Mr Bob Barber, Professor Steve Caddick, Professor Raymond MacAllister and Professor David Price.

Key to abbreviations:	
AC	Academic Committee
EdCom	Education Committee
HE	Higher education
JISC	Joint Information Systems Committee
JSSC	Joint Staff Student Committee
LSE	London School of Economics
GPA	Grade Point Average
QMEC	Quality Management and Enhancement Committee
RDC	Research Degrees Committee
ROASG	Recruitment, Outreach and Admissions Steering Group
SMT	Provost's Senior Management Team

28 MEMBERSHIP OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE IN SESSION 2011-12

Noted

28.1 Ms Helen Matthews, Academic Administrator for the Faculty of Arts and Humanities and the Faculty of Social and Historical Sciences, had joined AC as a co-opted member with immediate effect.

29 MINUTES

Approved

29.1 The Minutes of the meeting of AC held on 15 December 2011 [AC Mins. 11-27, 2011-12] were confirmed by the Committee and signed by the Chair.

30 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

[See also Minutes 33 and 35 below]

30A Semesterisation

[AC Min. 14, 2011-12]

Noted

30A.1 At its meeting on 15 December 2011, AC considered a draft position paper from the (Acting) Vice-Provost (Education)/Chair of AC on semesterisation. It was agreed that the paper would be amended in light of the Committee's discussion and that the revised paper would then be submitted to the Provost for his consideration.

Reported

30A.2 Professor Ewing reported that the paper had been modified in light of the discussion at AC's previous meeting. A number of outstanding issues needed to be addressed in the paper (*eg* how to deal with the issue of the Easter break) and once this had been done the revised paper would be submitted to the Provost.

30B Development of a 'Policy Zone'

[AC Min. 16, 2011-12]

Noted

30B.1 At its meeting on 15 December 2011, in the context of discussing a report from QMEC on progress in implementing the post-Institutional Audit Action Plan, AC discussed the issue of developing a 'Policy Zone' on the UCL website. This work was being taken forward by the AC Secretary and the Head of Web and Mobile Services, and it was hoped that a substantive report would go to the next meeting of AC.

31 UCL INTERNATIONAL STRATEGY

Noted

31.1 The UCL International Strategy was in the process of being revised and updated. The Vice-Provost (International) had given a presentation to AB at its meeting on 29 February 2012 on the major themes to be addressed in the new Strategy. The revised Strategy, which was being submitted to AC for its consideration, would be amended as appropriate in light of the discussion at both the AB and AC meetings. It was envisaged that the Provost, as Chair of AB, would then be invited to endorse formally the revised Strategy on behalf of AB before its submission to Council for final approval at its meeting on 4 April 2012.

Received

- 31.2 At <u>APPENDIX AC 3/19 (11-12)</u> the revised UCL International Strategy, plus two supporting papers: (i) a note on revised governance and reporting arrangements for UCL's international activities and (ii) a note on governing principles for UCL's involvement in overseas campuses and partnerships.
- 31.3 An oral report by Professor Michael Worton, Vice-Provost (International).

Reported

- 31.4 Professor Worton drew attention to the following in his oral report:
 - The revised International Strategy, which built on the foundations laid down in the original Strategy, set out an ambitious vision for UCL's future international operations, which was driven by two key strategic drivers: (i) the need to adopt a proactive approach in furthering UCL's mission as London's global university and contributing to global education, and (ii) being responsive to external forces, developments and opportunities such as changes in UK immigration policy.
 - The revised Strategy should show UCL's commitment to capacity building, equality and social cohesion.
 - UCL should establish, and be the hub of, a network of global universities, as well as be embedded in strategic global partnerships.
 - Of the Strategy's six key aims, the fourth aim regarding international partnerships and collaborations was likely to stimulate the most discussion.

Discussion

31.5 The Provost noted that the revised Strategy would represent the second phase in the evolution of UCL's international activities which had been led so successfully by Professor Worton. As such, there was now an opportunity to ask some strategic questions about the future nature and scope of UCL's international activities, as well as issues of operational detail. In particular, he invited the Committee to give some thought to the possible risks associated with overseas campuses and overseas collaborations, especially in light of the issues experienced

31.14 That the UCL Union's Medical and Postgraduate Students' Officer be included in the constitution of the proposed International Strategy Board.

[ACTION: Professor Michael Worton - to note]

32 MODERN FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND THE UCL ADMISSIONS PROCESS

[AC Min.56, 2007-08]

Noted

32.1 In December 2007, AC approved a modern foreign language requirement for undergraduate admissions to take effect from the 2012 entry. Following a recent discussion at the Provost's SMT, the Vice-Provost (Education)/Chair of AC and the Dean of Students (Academic)/Chair of EdCom, together with relevant officers, had reviewed the introduction of the MFL requirement.

Received

- 32.2 At <u>APPENDIX AC 3/20 (11-12)</u> a note arising from the above review. (The note was also received by EdCom at its meeting on 14 March 2012.)
- 32.3 An oral report by Professor Anthony Smith, Vice-Provost (Education)/Chair of AC.

Reported

- 32.4 Discussions regarding the implementation of the MFL requirement which had taken place at the Provost's SMT, at faculty level and at EdCom had revealed concerns about the way in which the requirement had been implemented and communicated to prospective students and schools, and its implications for UCL's widening participation agenda. The AC Chair drew the Committee's attention to the five recommendations at section 15 of the note at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/20 (11-12)</u>.
- 32.5 The Head of Outreach and Admissions reported that the way that the requirement had been implemented had caused some confusion among potential students and their advisers. However, prospective students who had been offered a place at UCL but who did not meet the MFL requirement had been flagged and had informed that they could take language modules when at UCL.

Discussion

- 32.6 Members of AC noted the following in particular in their discussion of the MFL requirement:
 - Following the Government's decision to make it optional for GCSE students to take an MFL, AC had approved the MFL requirement in 2007 partly in order to empower schools to push for the inclusion of an MFL GCSE as part of the school curriculum. If UCL were to retreat from the requirement, this would weaken the leverage that schools would be able to exert in this area. It would also send out a negative message about UCL's commitment to the study of languages.
 - Some members of AC commented that, whilst they supported the principle of the MFL requirement, it had deterred some very able students from applying to UCL, who at the age of 14 had not

UCL Academic Committee – Minutes – 22 March 2012

thought about the impact of not taking an MFL at GCSE on their future university choices.

- It was argued that it was not the policy that was problematic, but that it had not been communicated sufficiently clearly to schools so that students, when selecting their GCSE options, could be advised accordingly as to the impact of their GCSE choices, not only for university entrance but also for employability.
- UCL's mission as London's Global University and its commitment to internationalism, as shown by the International Strategy, should be mirrored its commitment to the MFL requirement.
- UCL's MFL requirement should not impinge on UCL's widening participation responsibilities.
- It was suggested that the MFL requirement should be stated in terms of an on-course or progression requirement rather than an admissions requirement; this view had been widely supported at EdCom.
- Concern was expressed about how the requirement would be applied to academically gifted students who had difficulty with language-based subjects, especially those with dyslexia.
- 32.7 Following a lengthy discussion, AC agreed to approve the five recommendations set out at paragraph 15 of the note at <u>APPENDIX</u> <u>AC 3/20 (11-12)</u>, which were as follows:
 - (a) That the strategic and educational rationale for introducing the MFL requirement remains valid and that AC should reaffirm its commitment to the policy which it approved in 2007. Further research should, if feasible, be undertaken into the possible impact of the MFL requirement on students from different school and socio-economic backgrounds.
 - (b) Clear statements should be provided to prospective students, their parents and schools, as well as admissions tutors, on the full scope of the MFL requirement and the four ways in which it can be met. Clear and full notes of guidance should be provided setting out those qualifications and languages which are eligible under the policy.
 - (c) A protocol for the Dean of Students (Academic) to assess proficiency in heritage or community languages where accreditation is not available should be developed and included in the notes of guidance.
 - (d) AC should endorse the proposal from the SMT that responsibility for receiving and deciding upon requests from departments for students to be exempted from the MFL requirement should be devolved to Faculty Tutors. However,

Reported

- 34.3 The note at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/22 (11-12)</u> summarised changes in the last two years in UCL's governance structures relating to the recruitment and admission of students and highlighted the need partly in response to issues raised by the Woolf Inquiry into the LSE's links with Libya to clarify the locus of responsibility for the approval of policy in these areas. It recommended, therefore, that this be made explicit in the terms of reference of EdCom and RDC.
- 34.4 As the note explained, the Recruitment, Outreach and Admissions Steering Group should be seen as replacing the 'lead officer' arrangement which it had originally been envisaged would replace the (now disestablished) Committee for the Recruitment and Admission of Students, but which was judged inappropriate as there was no longer a single officer who could undertake that role.

Discussion

34.5 In response to a question from a member of AC, it was confirmed that whilst ROASG reported to the Dean of Students (Academic) and the Vice-Provost (Operations), policy proposals from ROASG would in future be submitted to EdCom or RDC for approval as appropriate.

RESOLVED

34.6 That AC approve the note on governance structures for the recruitment and admission of students at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/22 (11-12)</u>

[ACTION: Mr Tim Perry – to note]

34.7 That AC endorse the proposal in the above note that the terms of reference of EdCom and RDC be amended to reflect their responsibilities in relation to the approval of policy in relation to the recruitment and admission of, respectively, taught and postgraduate-research students.

35.2 The document had been updated by the Steering Group in light of comments received from members of AC and EdCom, and was now being submitted to AC for approval.

Received

35.3 At <u>APPENDIX AC 3/23 (11-12)</u> – the revised document on interviews.

Discussion

35.4 Members of AC requested clarification on the length of time that departments should retain interview notes. It was agreed that the Steering Group would give guidance on that point.

RESOLVED

35.5 That AC approve the revised definition of, and policy for, interviews at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/23 (11-12)</u>

[ACTION: Mr Lyndon Mckevitt – to note]

36 STUDENT FEEDBACK DATA OVERVIEW REPORT

Noted

36.1 At its meeting on 23 February 2012, JSSC received the fourth Student Data Overview Report. The Report provides an overview and analysis of student feedback data from a number of internal and external sources.

Received

- 36.2 At <u>APPENDIX AC 3/24 (11-12)</u> the Student Data Overview Report.
- 36.3 An oral report from Dr Ruth Sidall, Chair of JSSC.

Reported

- 36.4 The JSSC Chair reported that there were four key points of student dissatisfaction arising from the student feedback data:
 - Learning resources;
 - Assessment and feedback;
 - Timetabling;
 - Academic support.

Details about how the issues were being addressed were at Table 2 of the report at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/24 (11-12)</u>. Although students had expressed some dissatisfaction with aspects of UCL's learning infrastructure, they appeared to be happy with the quality of the teaching that they received.

Discussion

- 36.5 The Medical and Postgraduate Students Officer noted that students placed great importance on IT access and class sizes, and there were still problems in these areas which needed to be addressed.
- 36.6 The Director of Library Services thanked JSSC officers for the report and noted that student access to core texts was a problem as it was

not physically possible to house hard-copies of core texts in UCL libraries. However, digital copies of texts could be made available and departments should provide Library Services with their core-texts lists so that digital copies could be provided to students.

36.7 The AC Chair noted that the Common Timetable and the Personal Tutor system were both on his agenda for the coming months. Also, given some of the findings from the Student Barometer and International Student Barometer surveys, the AC Chair proposed that a presentation be made to AC at its May or July meeting on key issues for UCL.

RESOLVED

36.8 That AC approve the fourth Student Data Overview Report at <u>APPENDIX AC 3/24 (11-12).</u>

[ACTION: Dr Ruth Siddall, Mr Rob Traynor - to note]

36.9 That the AC officers arrange for a presentation on the outcomes of the International Student Barometer and Student Barometer surveys be made to AC at either its May or July 2012 meeting.

[ACTION: Mr Jason Clarke]

37 ANNUAL MONITORING

Noted

37.1 At its meeting on 13 March 2012, QMEC received and considered faculty summaries on this year's Annual Monitoring and Augmented Annual Monitoring cycle. Although QMEC would be submitting a full evaluation report to AC at its next meeting, the Chair of QMEC would make an interim oral report to AC on key issues emerging from this year's cycle, which had operated according to the new accelerated timetable.

Received

eme0.0001 T17 3.279 -1.800(facu(g from epwsMCIAC .#MCID 20 BDC /TT136 Tw -3.2/C20w -3.279 facu(elediscuss3)]] Jshare how PoTJccs a n suJ0ss()-y einag #MCICID 3 05 Tc1es w4iCe1m(i of)] Depart

RESOLVED

37.4 That the Faculty of Laws submit its Annual Monitoring summary report to the QMEC officers as soon as possible.

[ACTION: Ms Olga Thomas]

38 **DEPARTMENTAL LEARNING AND TEACHING STRATEGIES**

Noted

38.1 Academic departments had been requested to submit updated DLTSs to the Office of the Vice-Provost (Education). These would be reviewed by a panel with a view to identifying areas for future investment, emerging activities *etc.* A report on the preliminary review of the revised DLTSs would be submitted to AC at its next meeting.

39 REPORTS OF SUB-COMMITTEES, WORKING GROUPS ETC OF ACADEMIC COMMITTEE

Noted

- 39.1 The AC officers had received on behalf of AC, since the last meeting of AC, Minutes of the following:
 - Education Committee 8 December 2011;
 - Library Committee 7 November 2011;
 - Joint Staff Student Committee 24 November 2011;
 - Research Governance Committee 14 November 2011 and 9 February 2012.

40 FACULTY TEACHING COMMITTEES

Received

40.1 At <u>APPENDIX AC 3/25 (11-12)</u> - a list of the meetings of FTCs of which the Minutes had been received by the AC Secretary on behalf of AC since the Committee's meeting on 15 December 2011.

41 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

Noted

41.1 The next meeting of AC would take place on **Thursday 10 May 2012** at **9.00am** in the **Haldane Room**.